# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting Air Quality Assessment, Forecasting and Integrated Services

M. Tesfaye PREFIA, Nairobi, Kenya 9 October 2019



December – March





























### EDGARv4.3.2 Anthropogenic



#### Road transportation



#### Combustion in manufacturing



#### Agricultural waste burning







#### Oil refineries and Transformation





#### Residential and other sectors



### Energy industry



# Background: Air pollution impacts

### Radiative transfer





### Semi-direct Effect



### Indirect Effect









# Background: Air pollution impacts

### Agricultural production





Ozone



<u>Sulfur dioxide</u>



<u>Fluoride</u>







<u>Cement-dust</u>

### Earth atmosphere chemical processes

# Aerosol Land use



### Agro-economic, food security, socio-economic...



# Background: Air pollution impacts

### Energy efficiency



### Built Environment



After 58 years of acid rain exposure

In 1944





# Background: Air Pollution Measurements and Modelling







Space-borne observations Provide air pollutant concentration, physico-optical properties with better temporal and spatial coverage X There exist accuracy limitation

Yerovide detailed and more accurate information about air pollutant physico-chemical and optical properties

✓ Laboratory studies of air pollutant formation and evolution processes are crucial for representing aerosols in



# Background: Air Pollution Measurements and Modelling

- Interactively coupled climate-chemistry model
- 4-D [space (V and H) and time resolved] information:
  - Primarily air pollutant emission
  - Secondary air pollutant formation
  - Mass distribution, optical and physico-chemical properties
  - Transport and physico-chemical transformation
  - Removal process
  - Essential to fill spatio-temporally resolving gaps of field measurements and composition identification limitations of satellite observations
  - It is important in situations difficult to observe by measurements



Provide phenomenal information about various climatic and environmental effects of air pollutants

It is crucial tools for estimating past and projecting their future distribution and climatic/environmental roles



U which can provide air quality forecast and alerts, as well as air quality related forecast products to the

general public and air quality management bodies

Interlinked modeling systems, such as epidemiological, Agro., energy and environment – in order to generate new sectorial/public products that assist the public as well as decision makers in making scientifically informed resource management strategies and policies

scientific research on multiphase and diversified impacts of air pollution on: Earth climate system, environment and natural balance of the ecosystem

Regionally optimized climate-chemistry coupled modeling system



# South African Weather Service D Research

# Research Operational Services



# SAWS-AQ Research activities in developing optimal parametrization





Anthrop. & BB: Solmon et al. (2006), Qian et al. (2001) Dust-4 & Dust-12 bins: Zakey et al. (2006), Kok et al. (2011) Sea Salt-2 bins: Zakey et al. (2008) Gas-Phase Chemistry: Shalaby et al. (2012) Gas-phase chemistry: CBM-Z Solver: Radical balance method Photolysis rates: Tropospheric Ultraviolet-Visible Model with cloud cover Dry deposition of gaseous species: Stomata and non-stomata multiple resistance model with aerodynamic, quasi-laminar layer and the uptake surface resistance for vegetation, soil, water, snow, ice,...



Dynamical core: MM5 hydrostatic & non-hydrostatic Radiation: CCM3.6, RRTM

Cumulus Convection: Grell (1993) with Frits. & Chapp., Kuo (1977), Emanuel (1991), Tiedtke (1996) Boundary Layer: Holtslag (1990), UW PBL (Bretherton and McCaa, 2004)

Land Surface: BATS, CLM4.5

Moisture scheme: Explicit moisture (SUBEX; Pal et al., 2000)/Tompkins Large-Scale Clouds & Precipitation: SUBEX (Pal et al., 2000) Ocean Fluxes: Zeng et al. (1998), BATS1e Monin-Obukhov, Coare bulk flux algorithm

 $\frac{\partial \chi^{i}}{\partial t} = -\overline{V} \cdot \nabla \xi^{i}_{ADV} + F^{i}_{HTD} + F^{i}_{VTD} + T^{i}_{C} + S^{i} - R^{i}_{W,ls} - R^{i}_{W,C} - D^{i}_{d} + \sum \left(Q^{i}_{p} - Q^{i}_{l}\right)$ Physico-chem. **Primary** 

**Transportation** 

emissions

Dry and Wet dep.

transformations



#### **Terrain**

**Global terrestrial data: Land** surface properties, such as land-use category, soil texture, roughness, soil moisture,.....



**Domain** with land surface properties, such as land-use category, soil texture, roughness, soil moisture,....

Pre-processing

#### □ Initial and Lateral Boundary conditions (ICBC)

Meteorological ICBC: GCM reanalysis/forecast; such as ECMWF, EIN15, NNRP1,.... **Surface Pressure** Geopotential **Relative Humidity** Air Temperature Wind Velocity

#### Sea Surface Temperature (SST)

to feed the model with reanalysis/forecast ocean temperature

#### **Chemical ICBC**

GCTM reanalysis/forecast, such as MOZART CTM, CAM O3, H2O2, NO, NO2, N2O5, HNO3, SO2, SO4, CH4, HCHO, CO, C2H6, CH3CHO, PAN, C4H10, Xylene, Isoprene, NH3,....

#### **Emissions**

Global (such as CAM, GFAS, GFED, EDGAR,.....) and Local SO2, CH4, CO, NH3, NOx, OC, BC, acids, alcohols,....

#### **Model**

Dynamical cores: Hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic

Thermodynamical modules

Air-sea flux schemes

**Radiation schemes** 

Land surface schemes

Planetary boundary layer schemes

Large-scale precipitation schemes

**Ocean flux parametrization** 

**Multiple cumulus convection schemes** 

Mass flux cumulus cloud schemes

Interactively coupled 2D lake mode;

**Explicit moisture schemes** 

Aerosol schemes

Gas-phase chemistryschemes,.....

# Simulation







# Soil Moisture (in turn dust production)





### Land-Atmosphere interactions



\*negative feedback above optimal temperature

#### BATS

Atmospheric Boundary Layer thickness (m)



Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme(BATS)

Community Land Model (CLM4.5)

#### CLM

Atmospheric Boundary Layer thickness (m)



### Radiative transfer

 $\tau^i_{Ext.} = \tau^i_{Scat.} + \tau^i$ 





#### 200-245nm 245-265nm 265-275nm 275-285nm 285-295nm 295-305nm 305-350nm 350-640nm 640-700nm

$$\tau^{i}_{Abs.} = \left[\frac{3Q_{s}^{i}}{4\rho^{i}r_{e}^{i}} + \frac{3Q_{a}^{i}}{4\rho^{i}r_{e}^{i}}\right]M_{i} = \frac{3M_{i}}{4\rho^{i}r_{e}^{i}}\left\{\frac{2}{x_{i}^{2}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(2n+1\right)\left(\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{x_{i}^{2}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}$$



>700

Aerosol optical depth



0.332

0.236

0.204

0.14

0.108



Diameter size: 2-300 µm
Main material: sand, silt, clay
Includes essential trace metals such as Fe
Consists of insoluble and soluble fractions

# Mineral Dust





 $\varepsilon', \varepsilon'' [n_r(\lambda, \varepsilon'), n_i(\lambda, \varepsilon')] \Rightarrow \omega_{\lambda}(x, n), \tau_{\lambda}(x, n), g_{\lambda}(x, n)$ 

Climate models and remote sensing retrievals use spatially invariant generic mineral dust emission coefficients and optical properties such as complex refractive index

### Natural dust emission module regional localization



Level of Scientific Understanding





▲ ▲ Haywood et al. (2003) SHADE\* □ □ Osborne et al. (2008) DABEX\* ○ ○ OMcConnell et al. (2010) DODO\* Formenti et al. (2011) AMMA + + + Ryder et al. (2013) FENNEC\* Wagner et al. (2012) laboratory\* - Steigmann et al. (2017) model



### Natural dust emission module regional localization

- UV/visible/IR optical properties
- Soil mineralogy,
- Size distribution
- Atmospheric ageing

LISA (Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systemes Atmospheriques) and other collaborating institutions

Field observations and *in-situ* measurements of dust

(deg) atitude





# Longitude (deg)

Di Biagio et al. (2017); Caponi et al. (2017)



#### CESAM simulation chamber





![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Dust SW refractive index

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Di Biagio et al. (2017)

#### Dust LW refractive index

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

Natural dust emission module regional localization

$$\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{r}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon'(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})^2 + \epsilon''(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})^2} + \epsilon'(\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}})}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 $Q_e = Q_s + Q_a$ 

where  $\chi = ka = \frac{2\pi r}{\lambda}$  is the size parameter:

$$a_n = \frac{\psi_n(\chi)\psi'_n(m\chi) - m\psi'_n(\chi)}{\xi_n(\chi)\psi'_n(m\chi) - m\xi'_n(\chi)}$$

$$b_n = \frac{m\psi_n(\chi)\psi'_n(m\chi) - \psi'_n(\chi)}{m\xi_n(\chi)\psi'_n(m\chi) - m\xi'_n(\chi)}$$

The far-field solution of Mie scattering ( $z >> kr^2$ , k = $(2\pi/\lambda)$  (Bohren and Huffman, 1983):

$$Q_e = \frac{\sigma_e}{\pi r^2} = \frac{2}{\chi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) K$$

$$Q_{s} = \frac{\sigma_{s}}{\pi r^{2}} = \frac{2}{\chi^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) \left( |a| + 1 \right) \left( |a| + 1$$

 $Q_a = Q_e - Q_s = \frac{1}{\chi^2} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)(-1)^n (a_n - b_n) \right]^2$ 

$$m_i(\tilde{v}) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon'(\tilde{v})^2 - \epsilon''(\tilde{v})^2} + \epsilon'(\tilde{v})}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 where  
real as of the

 $(\boldsymbol{\chi})\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n}(\boldsymbol{m}\boldsymbol{\chi})$  $(\chi)\psi_n(m\chi)$ 

 $(\boldsymbol{\chi})\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n}(\boldsymbol{m}\boldsymbol{\chi})$  $(\chi)\psi_n(m\chi)$ 

 $Re(a_n + b_n)$ 

 $|\boldsymbol{u}_n|^2 + |\boldsymbol{b}_n|^2$ 

#### optical depth

 $\alpha_{ext} = \int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} \pi r^2 Q_e \left(\frac{dN(r)}{dr}\right) dr \quad \text{(unit m}^{-1}\text{)}$  $\tau_{\lambda(m,\chi,n(r))} = \int_0^\infty \alpha_{ext,\lambda} dz$ 

Phase function

 $g_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} P_{\lambda}(\chi, m, \theta) \cos(\theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$  $1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} P_{\lambda}(\chi, m, \theta) \sin(\theta) d\theta$ 

$$\omega_{\lambda} = \frac{Q_{scat}^{\lambda}}{Q_{ext}^{\lambda}} =$$

 $\epsilon'$  and  $\epsilon''$  are the and imaginary part dielectric constant

Mie scattering  $r \sim (or >) \lambda_i$ 

![](_page_23_Figure_28.jpeg)

- $g_{\lambda}$ : -1 back scattering;  $\theta_s = 180^{\circ}$ 
  - 0 the scattering is isotropic
  - +1 forward direction:  $\theta_s = 0^\circ$

#### Single scattering albedo

$$\frac{\tau_{scat}^{\lambda}}{\tau_{ext}^{\lambda}}$$

![](_page_23_Picture_37.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_38.jpeg)

### Natural dust emission module regional localization

#### Case 1 and Case 2

**The SW (UV and VIS): downward from layer** n<sub>i</sub>-1 **and upward from** layer n<sub>i</sub> + 1 in to layer n<sub>i</sub>

2 **Rayleigh (gas) scattering:**  $P_{s,g,\lambda}(\Theta) = \frac{3}{4}(1 + \cos^2 \Theta)$ , where  $\Theta$  is the angular difference

$$\sum_{k} \left(\frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda}}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} I_{\lambda,\mu',\theta'} P_{s,k,\lambda,\mu,\mu',\theta,\theta'} d\mu' d\theta'\right)$$

$$\approx \left\{ \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1+g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow \qquad upward \\ \approx \left\{ \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1+g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow \qquad downward \\ -\mu \frac{dI_{\lambda} \downarrow}{d\tau_{\lambda}} = I_{\lambda} \downarrow - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1+g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1+g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda}}{4\pi} F_{s,\lambda} e^{-\tau_{\lambda}/\mu_{s}} (1-3g_{k,\lambda} \mu \mu_{s}) \right\}$$

$$\mu \frac{dI_{\lambda} \uparrow}{d\tau_{\lambda}} = I_{\lambda} \uparrow - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1+g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \uparrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1-g_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda}}{4\pi} F_{s,\lambda} e^{-\tau_{\lambda}/\mu_{s}} (1-3g_{k,\lambda} \mu \mu_{s}) \right\}$$

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Case 3 and Case 4

#### ☐ The NIF and thermally emitted-LW: downward from layer n<sub>i</sub>-1 and upward from lower layers

$$I_{\lambda,-\mu,\phi}(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}) = I_{\lambda,-\mu,\phi}(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i-1}})e^{-(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i-1}})/\mu}$$

$$I_{\lambda,\mu,\phi}(\tau_{a,\lambda}) = A_{G,\lambda} [I_{\lambda,\mu,\phi}(\tau_{a,\lambda,G})e^{(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}-\tau_{a,\lambda,G})/\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\tau_{a,\lambda,G}}^{\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}} (B_{\lambda,T(\tau'_{a,\lambda})}e^{(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}-\tau'_{a,\lambda})/\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\tau_{a,\lambda,G,n_{i}}}^{\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}} [B_{\lambda,T(\tau'_{a,\lambda})}e^{(\tau_{a,\lambda,n_{i}}-\tau'_{a,\lambda})/\mu} ]$$

#### Case 5 and Case 6

#### Surface reflected SW radiation and surface emitted-LW

$$F_{G,\lambda} \uparrow = \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{A_{G}(\lambda, \mu', \varphi)}{\mu'} \int_{0}^{\tau_{G}} [I_{\lambda} \downarrow - \sum_{k} \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda} (1 + \tilde{g}_{k,\lambda})}{2} I_{\lambda} \downarrow + \frac{\omega_{s,k,\lambda}}{2} I_{\lambda,T_{s}}, \text{ where } \varepsilon = 1 - A_{G}$$

Direct effect (RF =  $F_{\text{net},a} - F_{\text{net},n}$ ) Cool/Warm the surface and atmosphere

![](_page_24_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_19.jpeg)

### Natural dust emission module regional localization

$$f(w) = 1 \text{ for } w < w_r$$
$$f(w) = \sqrt{1 + a(w - w_r)^b} \text{ for } w > w_r$$

f(vv): three W: volum a and b: co Wr: threst

![](_page_25_Figure_4.jpeg)

| eshold friction velocity |
|--------------------------|
| netric soil moisture     |
| constants                |
| shold soil moisture      |
|                          |

|                | Sand             | Loamy<br>Sand      | Sandy<br>Loam | Loam            | Silt<br>Loam             | Sandy Clay<br>Loam  | Clay<br>Loam            | Silty Clay<br>Loam               | Sandy<br>Clay    |     |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|
| a              | 21.19            | 30.0               | 44.87         | 17.79           | 21.79                    | 25.79               | 29.86                   | 27.50                            | 25.20            |     |
| b<br>Wr        | 0.68 0.005       | 0.90<br>0.01       | 0.85<br>0.037 | 0.61<br>0.049   | 0.67 0.059               | 0.74<br>0.075       | 0.80 0.095              | 0.75 0.110                       | 0.70<br>0.125    |     |
|                |                  |                    | Dust          |                 |                          | Kanget              | aı. (20                 | JII); Ghe                        | ardoud           | J ( |
|                | Accun<br>(1.0–   | ulation<br>2.5µm)  | n             | Co<br>(2.5–     | arse<br>5.0µm)           | ) (5                | Gian<br>.0–20.          | ıt<br>oμm)                       |                  |     |
| t <sub>i</sub> | + T <sub>C</sub> | ust <sub>i</sub> + | E Du          | st <sub>i</sub> | R <sup>Dus</sup><br>W,ls | $r_i^{t_i} - R_W^D$ | ust <sub>i</sub><br>',C | - D <sub>d</sub> <sup>Dust</sup> | <sup>4</sup> + 2 | >   |
|                | ~                | - 1                |               |                 | ·                        | -                   |                         | _                                |                  |     |

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Southern Africa Domain

-20

Latitude

-35

### Topography

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

Natural dust emission module regional localization

NDJF-0.01-2.5

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

NDJF-2.5-20

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

| 4 | 1 | 3 |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 9 | 2 |
| 3 | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | 5 | Q |
| 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 9 | 8 |
| 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 9 | Q |
| 1 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 | o | 9 |
| 8 | 2 |   |
| 5 | 5 |   |

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Observed AOD**

. 1.2 1.6 0.8 1.0 1.4

RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Within the Sd. of AERONET and  $\pm 25\%$  of MISR

Temporal correlation ~ 0.6

uncertainties associated with emissions

bias in simulated meteorological fields

biases that propagate from interpolation scheme

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

### RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\tau_{e}(r,\lambda,m,n(r)) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \pi r^{2} Q_{ext}(r,\lambda,m) n(r) dr$$

Under the framework of Mie theory and Non linear inversion scheme:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_e(r,\lambda,m,n(r)) &= \sum_{j=1}^q \int_{r_j}^{r_{j+1}} \pi r^2 Q_{ext}(r,\lambda,m) h(r) f(r) dr \\ \tau_e(r,\lambda,m,n(r)) &= Af(r) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \int \pi r^2 Q_{ext}(r, \lambda, m) h(r) dr$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is an error which arises due to deviation between the  $\tau_e(r, \lambda, m, n(r))$  and  $(\tau_e(r, \lambda, m, n(r)) = \sum A_{ij} f_i)$   $f_{n+1} - f_n = (A^T A + \gamma H)^{-1} A^T (\tau_{measured} - \tau_{simulated})$  $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_{measured}(\lambda_i) - \tau_{simulated}(\lambda_i))^2$ 

Columnar weighted  

$$n(r) = \frac{2\pi r^2 dN(r)}{dr}$$
in Conjugation with  
Mie theory  

$$\tau_{s(\lambda)} \quad \tau_{a(\lambda)} \quad \omega_{(\lambda)}$$
and  

$$m_{eff}$$

$$\tau_{e(555 \text{ nm})} \quad \tau_{a(555 \text{ nm})} \quad \mathcal{O}_{(555 \text{ nm})}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q_{ext}(\lambda, r)}{\partial \lambda} \implies \alpha_{(443 - 670)nm} \quad \alpha_{(670 - 865)nm}$$

$$\alpha' = \frac{d\alpha}{d \ln \lambda} = -\left(\frac{2}{\ln \lambda_{i+1} - \ln \lambda_{i-1}}\right) \left[\frac{\ln \tau_{i+1} - \ln \tau_i}{\ln \lambda_{i+1} - \ln \lambda_i} - \frac{\ln \tau_i - \ln \tau_{i-1}}{\ln \lambda_i - \ln \lambda_{i-1}}\right]$$

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_16.jpeg)

# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting

### RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Air mass trajectory analysis of Tesfaye et al. 2010 shows that > 6 km there exist a large contribution of long-range transportation processes.

![](_page_31_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

### SAFARI-2000

| Д         | Reference             |
|-----------|-----------------------|
| 87 — 0.99 | Formenti et al., 2003 |
| 30 - 0.94 | Haywood et al. 2003   |
| 81-0.93   | Magi et al., 2003     |
| 34 - 0.90 | Abel et al., 2003     |

| SSA         | Reference               |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| 0.84 - 0.90 | Eck et al., 2003        |
| 0.72 - 0.90 | Bergstrom et al., 2003  |
| 0.81-0.91   | Kuzmanoski et al., 2007 |
| 0.73 – 0.96 | RCM-Chem                |

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

RCM-Chem evaluation

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Simulation Domains

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Africa

![](_page_34_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Southern Africa

### AQF: 7 – 9 October

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting

### Africa domain: Dust storm forecast

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting

### Africa domain: Air quality forecast

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

SO2: Mon, Aug-21-2017 (00AM)

![](_page_38_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Simulation Outputs

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

### MODIS AOD

South African

AQF: 7 – 9 October

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

| 11 | 1 | L |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| _  |   |   |  |  |
| ** | 4 | ļ |  |  |
|    |   |   |  |  |

| 5000      | 10000   | 15000   |
|-----------|---------|---------|
|           | VI (m2  | /s)     |
| Excellent | >22500  | )       |
| Very Good | 15000 - | - 22499 |
| Good      | 9000 -  | 14999   |
| Fair      | 6000 -  | 8999    |
| Poor      | <6000   |         |

![](_page_40_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_8.jpeg)

Very unhealthy

Services

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

Services

# South African Air Quality Information Systems (SAAQIS)

ᠾᠬᢇᡅ -Server of

Centralized air quality information: DEA & SAWS

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

Weather Service

### Services

| environmental affairs<br>Department:<br>Environmental Affairs<br>REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA |                    | South              | African Air Qu             |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Home  | Ambient Monitoring | Monitoring Reports | Emissions & Licensing Legi |
| نغ<br>Monitor: All  |                    |                    |                            |
| Map S   | atellite           |                    |                            |
| Air Quality In  | ndex 🔻             |                    | Namibia                    |
| PM10<br>PM2.5   |                    |                    |                            |
| NO2   |                    |                    | ~~                         |
| \$O2  |                    |                    |                            |
| 03  |                    |                    |                            |
| со  |                    |                    | Car 2 1                    |
|   |                    |                    |                            |

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

emissions

![](_page_44_Picture_7.jpeg)

transformations

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **ΔHydrology:**

#### e.g., $\Delta WVMR$ , $\Delta CC$ and $\Delta CLWP$

Street of C

ΔΑΤΜ-Ρ

 $\Delta \vec{W}$ 

![](_page_45_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Services: National Burden of Disease

### Quantile

$$MB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i),$$

$$ME = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_i - O_i|,$$

$$NMB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i} \times 100\%,$$

$$NME = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |M_i - O_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i} \times 100\%,$$

$$MFB = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2(M_i - O_i)}{M_i + O_i} \times 100\%,$$

$$MFE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2|M_i - O_i|}{M_i + O_i} \times 100\%,$$

$$MR = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_i}{O_i},$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)^2}},$$

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Services: National Burden of Disease

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

90721 population polygons

Area weighted centroid matrix: > 98.7% transformation accuracy

New SA national polygon distribution

Polygonal mapped (at the centre of the polygon) - annual mean of 8hr running maximum - O3

![](_page_47_Figure_8.jpeg)

Polygon mapped annual mean PM2.5 at the centre of each SA population polygons

![](_page_47_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_13.jpeg)

Services: National Burden of Disease

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_11.jpeg)

SA polygon mapped annual mean 8hr running maximum O3

![](_page_48_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Services: Scenario based AQMP

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### South Africa main sources of atmospheric Pollutants

| Anthropo |       | genic                  |
|----------|-------|------------------------|
| Energy   | •     | Industries             |
| Mining   |       | <b>Biomass burning</b> |
| Agricul  | lture | Transportation         |
| Reside   | ntial | Ships                  |
| Agric. V | Waste |                        |

![](_page_49_Picture_7.jpeg)

Natural

**Desert dust over the west part Ocean spry of the coastal** biogenic emissions

AQ management and planning

Cost benefit analysis

Stakeholder engagement

![](_page_49_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Services: Scenario based AQMP

### Status Quo

#### Mpumalanga - APR - CO (mg/m3): monthly max of 8-hour running average

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Mpumalanga - APR - NO2 (ug/m3): monthly max of 1 hour average

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Mpumalanga - APR - SO2 (ug/m3): monthly max of 24 hour average

#### Mpumalanga - APR - NO (ug/m3): monthly max of 1 hour average

![](_page_50_Figure_10.jpeg)

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90

![](_page_50_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### Mpumalanga - APR - O3 (ug/m3): monthly max of 8-hour running average

#### Mpumalanga - APR - PM2.5 (ug/m3): monthly max of 24 hour average

![](_page_50_Figure_15.jpeg)

0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 160

![](_page_50_Figure_17.jpeg)

#### Mpumalanga - TPM - Dry deposition rate (g/m2.day): annually average

![](_page_50_Picture_19.jpeg)

### Services: Scenario based AQMP

### Climatology

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

900 500 600 700 800

25°S - 26°S

25°S

- 26°S-

27°S-

![](_page_51_Figure_13.jpeg)

**Surface Solar Radiation Flux - DEC** mas 52 7~~~ 29°E 31°E 30°E Longitude

280 160 200 220 240 260 180

26S

27S

![](_page_51_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_21.jpeg)

### Services: Scenario based AQMP

### Sectorial emission climatology

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting

### Services: Scenario based AQMP

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Services: Air pollutant impact on plant

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_5.jpeg)

Ozone

Sulfur dioxide

![](_page_54_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

Fluoride

Ammonia

Cement-dust

![](_page_54_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_16.jpeg)

# SAWS AQ Modeling and Forecasting

### Services: PV Energy

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_3.jpeg)

kwh/ar

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)